DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGIN BRIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

WECE/VE

In the Matter of	APR 2 1002
Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation	ET Docket No. 93-62 ice of Secretary

COMMENTS OF ARCH COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC.

Pursuant to Section 1.429(f) of the Commission's rules, Arch Communications

Group, Inc. ("Arch"), hereby submits brief comments in support of the Petitions for Partial

Reconsideration filed January 23, 1997, seeking extension of the deadline for compliance

with the Commission's new radiofrequency (RF) emission rules.¹

On August 1, 1996, the Commission released its *Report and Order* in the above-referenced proceeding. The Commission initially adopted a January 1, 1997, deadline for compliance with the RF emission guidelines.² In the *Report and Order*, the Commission indicated its intent to issue an updated OET Bulletin 65 in the near future.³ On December 24, 1996, the Commission extended the RF emission compliance deadline to September 1, 1997, concluding in part that such an extension would "allow applicants to review the

No. of Copies rec'd OJ4 List ABCDE

See Ameritech Mobile Communications, Inc. ("Ameritech"), Petition for Partial Reconsideration in ET Docket No. 93-62, filed January 23, 1997, at 1-4; Northeast Louisiana Telephone Co., Inc., Petition for Partial Reconsideration in ET Docket No. 93-62, filed January 23, 1997, at 1-4.

Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Report and Order, ET Docket No. 93-62, FCC 96-326 (released August 1, 1996).

³ *Id.* ¶ 114.

revised [OET] Bulletin 65 and to make the necessary measurements or calculations to determine that they are in compliance."⁴ The Commission also stated that "we intend to release a revised Bulletin 65 shortly after we address the other outstanding issues in this proceeding."⁵

To date, the Commission has not released the OET Bulletin 65. However, the review, evaluation and dissemination of the information which will be contained in OET Bulletin 65 remains essential to compliance with the Commission's RF emission guidelines. As the Commission is aware, many in the wireless industry have raised questions concerning the new RF emission rules and are awaiting the guidance to be provided in the updated OET Bulletin for purposes of evaluating their compliance activities.

Arch for example, has thousands of paging transmitters throughout its extensive service areas. Thus, Arch's site-by-site, base station evaluation will necessarily be time-consuming once the OET Bulletin is released and reviewed by Arch personnel. For these reasons, Arch supports the Petitions for Partial Reconsideration filed by Ameritech and Northeast Louisiana Telephone Company which request that the Commission further extend the compliance deadline beyond the current September 1, 1997 date. Specifically, if the revised OET Bulletin No. 65 resolves the numerous complex issues raised on reconsideration, Arch urges the Commission to delay the effective date of the new RF emission rules from September 1, 1997 until one year after the release of OET Bulletin No. 65.

Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, First Memorandum Opinion and Order, ET Docket No. 93-62, FCC 96-487, ¶ 7 (released December 24, 1996).

⁵ *Id.* ¶ 5 n.10.

requested additional extension will help ensure orderly, timely and complete compliance with the new RF guidelines without harming public safety. If the revised Bulletin does not resolve the numerous complex issues raised on reconsideration, however, complying with the Commission's new RF emission guidelines will likely be impossible even one year after the Bulletin's release. In this case, Arch requests that the Commission further delay the effective date of the new RF emission guidelines until such time as carriers have had an opportunity to resolve all issues raised on reconsideration and comply accordingly.

For the foregoing reasons, Arch respectfully requests that the Commission grant Petitioners' requests to extend the RF emission compliance deadline.

Respectfully submitted,

ARCH COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC.

D-..

Kathryn A. Zachem Carolyn W. Groves

Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn 1735 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 (202) 783-4141

Its Attorneys

April 2, 1997

Arch also supports Ameritech's and Northeast Louisiana Telephone Company's proposal that the Commission take a flexible approach with respect to granting waiver requests. See Ameritech Petition at 3-4; Northeast Louisiana Telephone Company Petition at 3.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Joy Griffiths, do hereby certify that I have, on this 2nd day of April, 1997, caused to be served by first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, a copy of the foregoing Comments to the following:

Cathleen Massey
Vice President - External Affairs
AT&T Wireless
4th Floor
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Henry L. Baumann National Association of Broadcasters 1771 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

David L. Hill
PageMart II, Inc.
O'Connor & Hannan, L.L.P.
Suite 800
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Michael Altschul
Cellular Telecommunications Industry
Association
Suite 200
1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

John A. Prendergast
Northwest Louisiana Telephone Co., Inc.
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens
2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20037

Arthur Firstenberg Chairman, Cellular Phone Taskforce P.O. Box 100404 Vanderveer Station Brooklyn, NY 11210 Mark Golden
Personal Communications Industry
Association
Suite 700
500 Montgomery Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Kathryn Marie Krause U S WEST, Inc. 1020 - 19th Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Judith St. Ledger-Roty
Paging Network, Inc.
Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay
Suite 1100 East Tower
1301 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Dennis L. Myers Vice President & General Counsel Ameritech Cellular 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60195

Ad-hoc Association of Parties Concerned About the Federal Communications Commission's Radiofrequency Health and Safety Rules P.O. Box 7577 Olympia, WA 98507

Joy Duffiths
Joy Griffiths